PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	RITY					
To:			DOT			
100011		PCT				
22/F,Great Eagle Centre, 23 Harbour						
Road, Wanchai, HONG KONG.P.R.Chin CHINA PATENT AGENT (H.K.) LTD	a	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
, , , , ,		(PCT Rule 43 bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2006 (2.6 - 1.0 - 2.006)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference						
FPEL05150067		FOR FURTHER ACTION				
International application No.	International filing da	te (day/month/year)	see paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/CN2005/002232	17. Dec 2005		ritority date (aay/month/year)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	_ 1					
G06F9/45(2006.01)i	bom national classificant	on and IPC				
Applicant						
INTEL CORPORATION et	al					
1. This opinion contains indications relat	ing to the following item	c·				
Box No. I Basis of the opini	_	· ·				
Box No.II Priority	011					
☐ Box No. III Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of i	invention					
Box No. V Reasoned stateme	nt under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)((i)with regard to novel	ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
citations and explain Box No.VI Certain document	anations supporting such	uch statement				
Box No. VI Certain defects in		tion	,			
Box No.VIII Certain observation	ons on the international a	ncanon al application				
2. FURTHER ACTION						
international Preliminary Examining A	Nuthority ("IPEA") exce PEA and the chosen IPEA	ept that this does not has notified the Inter	considered to be a written opinion of the tapply where the applicant chooses an national Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that			
If this opinion is, as provided above, or IPEA a written reply together, where ap of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expi	propriate, with amendme	ents, before the expirat	, the applicant is invited to submit to the tion of 3 months from the date of mailing chever expires later			
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/2						
	,		·			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT	/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/CN	Date of completion of t	his opinion	Authorized office			
The State Intellectual Property Office, the	16.Sep 2006 (1		Authorized officer ZHAO, Weihua			
P.R.China 6 Xitucheng Rd., Jimen Bridge,	. 		DIAO, Vempa 72			
Haidian District, Beijing, China 100088			<u> </u>			
Facsimile No. 86-10-62019451		1:	Telephone No. (86-10)62085024			

Form PCT/ISA/237(cover sheet)(April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CN2005/002232

Box	No.	I	Basis of the opinion	
1.	Wit	h reg	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
		a t	ranslation of the international application into, nished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	which is the language of a translation
2.	Witl inve	reg	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international and, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	pplication and necessary to the claimed
		type	of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing	
		forn	nat of material on paper in electronic form	
I			of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in electronic form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	
3. 🗀	I	urnis	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or shed, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or addition cation as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were f	mal copies is identical to that in the
4. A	.ddi	iona	l comments:	
•				
			37/Pay No D (April 2005)	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CN2005/002232

Box No. V Reasoned statement und citations and explanatio	der Rule 43 <i>bis</i> ns supporting	.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial appl such statement	licability;
1. Statement:			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-20	YES
	Claims		_ NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4-9,11-12,14-20	YES
	Claims	1-3,10,13	_ NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
	Claims	·	_ NO
D2:US5642512A (Matsus D3:EP0363882A2 (NEC C	Corporation hita Electri CORPORA	ouments: a, Tokyo, Japan) 4 Jun 1996 (1996-06-04) c Co., Osaka-fu, Japan) 24 Jun 1997 (1997-06 TION) 18 Apr 1990 (1990-04-18) ORPORATION) 4 Mar 2004 (2004-03-04)	5-24)

(2) Novelty and Inventive Step:

The subject matter of claims 1-20 of the present invention is a method of compiling code.

D1 discloses a parallel arithmetic-logical processing device. The data to be processed is divided into first data and second data by control means and supplied to a plurality of processing units so as to be parallel-processed by the processing unit. These processing units include first storage means and second storage means.

The subject matter of the present invention and that of the prior art document D1 are considered to be similar in that both relate to a compiling technique .But the claims 1-20 of the present invention relate to the invention based on memory access latency associated with the instructions. It is obvious that not all the technical features in claims 1-20 are disclosed by D1. Therefore, The claims 1-20 are considered to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The difference between the said claims 1-3,10,13 and the said document lies in that the state of the bus stretutre in the said claims is the method based on memory access latency associated with the instructions. However, the said difference is well-known to the person skilled in in the compiling code field. Accordingly, it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to derive the invention of claims 1-3,10,13 from the prior art. Therefore, the claims 1-3,10,13 are considered to lack an inventive step(PCT Article 33(3)).

The claims 4-9,11-12,14-20 are considered to have an inventive step(PCT Article 33(3)) because the document D1 does not disclose partitioning a memory access dependence chain into an upstream stage by assigning a first number of desired upstream nodes to the upstream stage. And further the technical solution in claims 4-9,11-12,14-20 is not obvious to a person skilled on the basis of D1,D2,D3 and D4 or their combination. Thus, claims 4-9,11-12,14-20 have inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

(3). Industrial Applicability:

Claims 1-20 of the present invention meet the criteria set out in PCT Article33(4) because they are directed to a method of compiling code that includes partitioning instructions in the code among a plurality of processors. Therefore, the claims 1-20 are considered to be industrially applicable.